


**REMARKS**

In the Office Action mailed 2/2/7/07, the Examiner indicated that the reply filed on 11/24/06 was not fully responsive because the Applicant did not identify the claims readable upon the elected species (Office Action, pg. 2). Claims 1-17 and 27-38 are readable upon SEQ ID NO: 1. Claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 27, 32 and 33 are readable upon SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 2 as a pair. Claims 8, 9, 11, 34, 35, and 36 are readable upon SEQ ID NO: 3. Claims 9, 11, 35, and 36 are readable upon SEQ ID NOs: 3 and 4 as a pair. As such, the Applicants submit that they have met the requirements of the restriction requirement. Applicants note that upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicants are entitled to consideration of claims to additional species that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim (37 C.F.R. 1.141).

If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would aid in the prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned collect at 608 218-6900.

Dated: March 27, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tanya A Arenson  
Registration No. 47,391

MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP  
101 Howard Street, Suite 350  
San Francisco, California 94105  
608.218.6900